AO 106 (Rev. 5/85) Affidavit for Search Warrant

United States Nistrict Court

DISTRICT

Southern

OF

California

2008 AUG -8 AM 10: 49

TRUCO TOTATALA SU MARIAGE SOUTHERN TOTATALA CHARALAGE

DEPUTY

In the Matter of the Search of

(Name, address or brief description of person or property to Priority Mail with Delivery Confirmation #0307-1790-0002-9223-3530, addressed to Reina Perez, 176 McClenland Ave. #12, East Point, GA 30344. The parcel listed the return information of Arthuro Mendez, 1512 Concord Way Unit A, Chula Vista, CA 91911.

APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVI7

FOR SEARCH WARRANT CASE NUMBER: '08 MJ 2462

١,

Ana L. Flores

I am a(n)

U. S. Postal Inspector

Official Title

being duly sworn depose and say:

and have reason to believe

that on the premises known as (name, description and/or location)

Priority Mail with Delivery Confirmation #0307-1790-0002-9223-3530, addressed to Reina Perez, 176 McClenland Ave. #12, East Point, GA 30344. The parcel listed the return information of Arthuro Mendez, 1512 Concord Way Unit A, Chula Vista, CA 91911 which is in the custody of the U. S. Postal Inspection Service

in the Southern

there is now concealed property, namely (describe the person or property)

Controlled substances, materials, and documents reflecting the distribution of controlled substances through the United States Mail, including money paid for controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 843(b) and 846

which is (give alleged grounds for search and seizure under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) evidence and contraband

in violation of Title 21

United States Code, Section(s) 841(a)(1), 843(b) and 846.

The facts to support the issuance of a Search Warrant are as follows:

See attached.

Continued on the attached sheet and made a part hereof.

X Yes

Sworn to before me, and subscribed in my presence

at

San Diego, CA

City and State

U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

Signature of Judicial Officer

AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

3.

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, Ana Flores, being duly sworn hereby depose and state:

- I am a United States Postal Inspector currently assigned to the San Diego Field Office of the 1. Postal Inspection Service and my duties include investigating violations of the Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act.
- 2. This affidavit is submitted in support of an application for a search warrant for the following Priority Mail with Delivery Confirmation # 0307-1790-0002-9223-3530, addressed to Reina Perez, 176 McClenland Ave. #12, East Point, GA 30344. The parcel listed the return information of Arthuro Mendez, 1512 Concord Way Unit A, Chula Vista, CA 91911.
 - I have been employed as a Postal Inspector since July 2003. From October 2003 through September 2005, I was assigned to the Internal Crimes / Mail Theft Investigations Team. Previous to that, I was employed with the United States Postal Service (USPS) for more than eight years. In July 2006, I received specialized training from the U.S. Postal Inspection Service which included instruction regarding individuals using the U.S. Mails to transport controlled substances and proceeds from the sale of controlled substances as well as the use of Postal Money Orders and other negotiable instruments to launder the proceeds of controlled substances transactions. In May 2007, I completed 80 hours narcotics investigations training by attending the Drug Enforcement Administration's Basic Narcotic Investigator School. In November 2007, I completed 30 hours training from the California Narcotics Officers Association, relative to the investigation of narcotics trafficking.
- I have been involved in more than 100 investigations involving the shipment of illegal narcotics 4. and controlled pharmaceuticals as well as drug proceeds through the US Mails. I have also investigated individuals who have used US Postal Money Orders, commercial money orders and other types of negotiable instruments to launder the proceeds of illegal narcotics and controlled pharmaceuticals. These investigations have resulted in the arrests of individuals involved in the

illegal mailing of narcotics, the seizure of illegal narcotics, controlled pharmaceuticals and proceeds of illegal narcotics and controlled pharmaceuticals.

- Based upon my training, experience and discussions with other Postal Inspectors and agents I 5. know the following in summary:
 - Individuals who regularly handle controlled substances leave the scent of controlled a. substances on the currency and other items they handle. Proceeds from these sales are often stored in close proximity to the controlled substances, thereby transferring the odor of the controlled substance to the monies and packaging materials. Narcotic canines are trained to alert on the scents of controlled substances.
 - The Postal Inspection Service and DEA Narcotic Task Force Commercial Interdiction b. Team have worked aggressively to limit the use of shipping companies and the U.S. Mail for the transportation of controlled substance through these companies.
 - Ongoing investigations have disclosed that Priority/overnight and "two day" parcel C. deliveries have become a method of choice by drug dealers for the transportation of contraband or the proceeds of narcotic sales.
 - I know that Southern California is a source region for controlled substances being mailed d. throughout the United States. The proceeds from these narcotic transactions are then transported via the U.S. Mails and other communication facilities back to Southern California, the source of the controlled substances.
 - Drug dealers prefer the U.S. Mail, (but will sometimes utilize commercial shipping e. companies), specifically "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail," for the narcotic or narcotic proceeds transportation for various reasons, some of which are listed below:
 - Items sent via "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail" are considered to be first-class 1. mail, therefore, cannot be examined without a Federal Search Warrant.
 - "Express Mail" is usually requested to be delivered by the next day's mail. 2.
 - "Priority Mail" is usually requested to be delivered within two days of mailing. 3.

- Dispatch times for "Express Mail" are specific and are controllable by the 4. mailer/shipper.
- Any delay to the mail is an indication to the mailer the mail items have been 5. possibly compromised by law enforcement agencies to obtain a search warrant.
- While it is not always the case that a delay of "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail" is 6. for law enforcement purposes, those involved in illegal transactions have found that the odds are against delays in deliveries of "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail" by United States Postal Service.
- 7. "Express Mail" and Priority Mail" may weigh up to 70 pounds and is desired for large volume shipments.
- f. Businesses are more likely to use Express Mail and Priority Mail than First-class Mail in the course of normal business and will often use an Express Mail Corporate Account Number for the billing of the mailed articles.
- Criminals who are involved in trafficking of controlled substances and drug proceeds g. will often receive multiple Express Mail articles within a short time period of each other.
- Criminals who are involved in trafficking of controlled substances and drug proceeds h. will often use multiple Post Office Boxes, Commercial Receiving Agencies and addresses in foreign countries to conceal their true identities and place of residence.
- I also know that drug orders containing currency, checks or money orders sometimes i. do not contain the presence of controlled substances because persons involved in shipping often utilize packing methods and sanitation procedures to conceal the odor or controlled substances.
- I also know from talking to U.S. Postal Service Supervisors and employees j. responsible for handling the mail that Express Mail is insured for up to \$100 in case of loss or damage. Insurance for Priority Mail may be purchased at an additional fee. Customers are discouraged from sending U.S. currency via the U.S. Mails and are

1			encouraged to purchase negotiable instruments as a means to send currency by the
2			U.S. Postal Service.
3		k.	I also know through my training and experience that drug dealers will often use
4			legitimate names and addresses of persons other than their own, or occupants at the
5			residence, in order to receive controlled substances and/or proceeds/monetary
6			instruments at their residence under names other than their own and to avoid
7			suspicion.
8	6.	On Au	igust 4, 2008 while conducting an investigation on an unrelated matter at the Chula Vista
9			Office located in San Diego County, CA, I became suspicious of the Priority Mail parcel that
10	! 	is the	subject of this affidavit and retrieved it for further investigation.
11 12	7.	The in	formation in this affidavit is based upon information I have gained from my investigation,
13		my pe	rsonal observations, my training and experience as well as information related to me by
14		other l	Postal Inspectors and law enforcement officers. Since this affidavit is being submitted for
15		the lim	ited purpose of securing a search warrant, I have not included each and every fact known
16		to me	concerning this investigation.
17	8.	On Au	gust 4, 2008 I became suspicious of the subject parcel for the following reasons:
18		• Th	e seams of the parcel had been taped. I know from previous investigations that drug
19		tra	ffickers will tape the seams in an effort to prevent the odor of controlled substances from
20		em	nanating from within the parcel;
21		• Th	e parcel was being returned to the sender due to an insufficient address;
22		• On	7/31/2008, a Postal employee had attempted to return the parcel to the return address
23		but	was unsuccessful and left a Postal notice of attempted delivery instructing the recipient
24		to r	retrieve the parcel at the Post Office. As of August 6, which is approximately 6 days after
25		the	notice was left, no one had come to the Post Office to claim the parcel;
26		• The	e parcel was mailed from the Lemon Grove Post Office Zip Code 91945 which is different
27		tha	n the address which is listed on the return portion of the parcel which is located in Chula
28		Vis	ta, Zip Code 91911. I know from previous investigations that drug traffickers will mail
			4

1		controlled substances away from their local Post Office in an effort to add anonymity to the
2		mailing.
3	9.	I conducted an inquiry on the addressee information and verified the address was in fact
4		insufficient. The address should have included a 4 digit building number; however, only a 3 digit
5		address was listed. I also conducted an inquiry on the return information of Arthuro Mendez,
6		1512 Concord Way Unit A, and determined that the address was valid; however, he was not
7		known to reside there or receive mail at that residence.
8	10.	On August 6, 2008 I met with San Diego Police Detective Daniel Billberry and his trained
9		narcotic detection canine, Cajun, at the DEA Headquarter located in San Diego, CA. Detective
10		Billberry and Cajun conducted an exterior inspection of the subject parcel. When Cajun
11		examined the parcel described above, Detective Billberry advised that Cajun had alerted to the
12 13		presence of the odor of controlled substances. I secured the subject parcel pending an
13		application for a search warrant. The qualifications of canine Cajun are contained in attachment
15		A.
16	11.	Based on the facts set forth in this affidavit, I submit that there is probable cause to believe
17		controlled substances, notes and/or currency from the illegal sale and mailing of controlled
18		substances is being concealed in the subject parcel as described above and seek the issuance
19		of a search warrant directing the search of the article as described above and the seizure of the
20		article, any controlled substances, currency, and/or materials and documents reflecting the
21		distribution of controlled substances, all in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections
22		841(a)(1), 843(b), and 846.
23		Ana (lovo)
24		Ana Flores Postal Inspector
25	Sworr	n to before me, and subscribed in my presence, on this
26	w	Day of August, 2008.
27		Miles Rowson
28		U. S. Magistrate Judge
•		

ATTACHMENT A For Daniel M. Billberry and K-9 Cajun

Daniel M. Billberry is currently a detective for the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) assigned to the San Diego Integrated Narcotic Task Force (NTF) and deputized as a Federal Officer. Det. Billberry has been a police officer for over 20 years and has worked as a narcotic detection dog handler since June 2005. Det. Billberry is a member of the California Narcotic Canine Association and the California Narcotics Officers Association.

Detective Billberry has participated in over 200 arrests of persons for violations of controlled substance laws. Det. Billberry has in excess of 250 hours of formal training and extensive experience in controlled substances investigations, particularly involving marijuana, methamphetamine, heroin, and cocaine, including the 80 hour DEA Narcotic Investigator Course, the 40 hour DEA Clandestine Laboratory Investigator Course, the 40 hour Drug Trafficker Interdiction Characteristics Course, the 40 hour DEA Operation Jetway Investigator Course and the 40 hour SkyNarc Criminal Interdiction Course. Det. Billberry has testified as an expert in the field of narcotics in state court. Det. Billberry is familiar with the manner in which said substances are packaged, marketed and consumed. Detective Billberry has received formal training and field experience in the identification of all types of controlled substances, particularly those mentioned above, by sight and odor. Detective Billberry has worked in an undercover capacity in purchasing controlled substances.

From May 1999 to June 2005, Det. Billberry was assigned to the Narcotic Street Team. Det. Billberry was involved in over 200 cases either as the case agent or assisting detective. Detective Billberry has observed hundreds of persons involved in narcotic trafficking and has developed an expertise in observing certain characteristics, of which one or more are commonly evident in the majority of narcotic smuggling cases.

On June 4, 2005, Detective Billberry was assigned to the NTF Commercial Interdiction Team. Det. Billberry has been involved in over 100 parcel cases where he utilized surveillance techniques, profiles of persons and parcels and his narcotic detection canine. Det. Billberry has observed several hundred parcels during the course of his duties. On selected parcels, Det. Billberry has observed certain characteristics that although not illegal, when taken in their totality lead him to believe the parcel contains controlled substances. These include illegible or non-existent return addresses, misspelled street names, handwritten labels, taped in an unusual manner, strong masking odors emitting from the parcel and/or cash payment.

Det. Billberry has been able to confirm his suspicions by utilizing a narcotic detection canine to alert to packages. It has come to Det. Billberry's attention during recent inspections of parcels containing controlled substances discovered during routine audits by United Parcel Service and Federal Express security that the smugglers are utilizing extreme measures to shield the odor of the controlled substances from the canine.

In November 2007, Detective Billberry was assigned to train K9 "Cajun" in the area of narcotic detection. Detective Billberry and "Cajun" received approximately 20 hours of training and evaluation in the detection of marijuana, heroin, methamphetamine and cocaine from SDPD narcotic canine trainer Steve Sloan. Steve Sloan is a certifying official for the California Narcotic Canine Association. Prior to Det. Billberry being assigned "Cajun", "Cajun" was originally trained and certified by a United States Border Patrol designated trainer as a narcotic detection canine where he was deployed with a Border Patrol Canine handler for approximately one year. "Cajun" was donated to the San Diego Police Department in November 2007, after his handler was promoted. "Cajun" was assigned to work with San Diego Police Detective, Daniel Billberry on this date.

On December 20, 2007, "Cajun" was certified as 100% proficient in the detection of Heroin, Cocaine, Marijuana and Methamphetamine by Steve Sloan who is a certifying official for the California Narcotic Canine Association.

Cajun's alert consists of physical and mental reactions, which include a heightened emotional state, and coming to a complete "sit" when his physical position allows.

Detective Billberry and "Cajun" have been involved in training exercises where known controlled substances, containers, or paraphernalia were hidden. Because of the absorption of the odor, and the narcotics detection dog's inherently keen sense of smell, the narcotic detection dog will continue to alert on the container or item depending on the length of exposure to the controlled substance, the specific controlled

substance, and ventilation of the item or container. The common belief is all currency in circulation is contaminated with narcotics. It has been the experience of Detective Billberry, a properly trained narcotic detection canine will not alert to all currency. Det. Billberry has witnessed searches of parcels by trained narcotic detection canines where no alert was given. The parcels later were discovered to contain substantial sums of U.S. Currency.

It has been Detective Det. Billberry's experience; the training of the canine regarding threshold amounts of narcotic odors is the most relevant factor regarding alerts on currency. It has been Det. Billberry's experience the training must include the establishment of a lower threshold of approximately one gram of odor or more to ensure the canine is alerting to more than average contamination. Average contamination is reported to occur through normal handling. The canine must also be "proofed" from numerous odors including currency on a regular basis to maintain consistency. These have included food, plastic bags and wrap, tape, controlled substance adulterants, circulated US Currency, and other items. Proofing is a method used in training to ensure the canine alerts only to the odors for which it is trained to alert.

###

(12/21/07)